

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    | Item                                      | Update   | Actions and recommendations | Who |
|----|---|--|-----------------------------|-----|
|    | <b>Date of meeting: 2nd November 2016</b> |  |                             |     |
| 1. | <b>Attendees and apologies</b>            |  |                             |     |
|    | <b>Present:</b>                           | Stewart Barnes     Box PC<br>Paul Bollen         Wiltshire Council<br>Chris Doel            Lacock PC<br>Spencer Drinkwater   Wiltshire Council<br>Graham Heard        National Trust<br>Vaughan Hill         Box PC<br>Alan Macrae          Wiltshire Council<br>Sheila Parker         Wiltshire Council<br>Gareth Rogers        Wiltshire Council<br>Richard Rogers      Wiltshire Council<br>Simon Scott          Corsham Town Council<br>Dick Tonge            Wiltshire Council<br>Phil Whalley         Wiltshire Council<br>Kate Wysocki        Wiltshire Council<br><br>No apologies received |                             |     |
| 2. | <b>Notes of last meeting</b>              |  |                             |     |
|    |   | The notes of the last meeting held on 22 <sup>nd</sup> June 2016 were accepted as a true record.   |                             |     |
| 3. | <b>Financial Position</b>                 |  |                             |     |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|           |  |   |   |    |
|-----------|--|---|---|----|
|           |  | <p>The finance sheet was presented.</p> <p>The CATG were asked to allocate unspent money this year as it may not be rolled over into next year's budget</p>   |   |    |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>Top 5 Priority Schemes</b>                            |   |   |    |
| a)        | <b>Skynet Drive</b>                                      | <p>The MOD has confirmed that they intend to close the road to traffic in 16/17 at recent meeting with Corsham TC. Cllr P Whalley to contact MOD to request further details.</p> <p>SD has been advised that the MOD have put forward a business case to close part of the road to traffic.</p> <p>£50k has been allocated to place barriers at the end of Skynet Drive and to improve visibility</p> <p>The MOD wishes to reduce the speed limit but they have been advised that this is not going to be possible.</p> | <p><b>ACTION</b> – To ask the MOD to provide proposed plans and send to Spencer Drinkwater (PW)</p>                       |    |
| b)        | <b>Issue No: 3504 Speed of traffic B3353 The Linleys</b> | <p>Work has been completed and the TC have been invoiced.</p> <p>Close issue.</p>   | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close Issue</p>  | RR |
| c)        | <b>Issue No: 4482 Devizes Road, Box</b>                  | <p>Proposal to provide an enhancement to the A365 Gateway, with the introduction of Village Gate. PC have confirmed 25% contribution. (Max. £750)</p> <p>Revised proposal for a double gate option provided to SP, awaiting confirmation from PC on preferred option. The cost of</p>   | <p><b>ACTION</b> – To take back to the Parish Council for a decision (Box PC)</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION</b> – That the</p> |    |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |   |  |  |    |
|----|---|--|--|----|
|    |   | <p>this second option where 2 gates are provided would be £5,200 rather than £2,500</p> <p>The advice is to place the gates at the speed limit change for maximum impact. However it recognises that this results in some extra cost.</p>  | <p>Area Board would be willing to pay 75% of the cost of installing double gates if the Parish Council choose this option and are willing to pay the remaining 25%</p> |    |
| d) | <p><b>Issue 4342 Northey Hill, Box</b></p> <p>40mph sign at junction of Northey Hill and A4 is obscured by telegraph pole</p>   | <p>Work has been completed. Invoice to be raised to PC.</p> <p>Close issue.</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close issue</p>   | RR |
| e) | <p><b>Issue No: 4364 Hither Way Lacock</b></p> <p>Dangerous crossing across Hither Way from National Trust visitor car park into Lacock. With traffic having to use Hither Way instead of coming through the village and reduced visibility for pedestrians crossing at this point a lower speed limit at present 40mph and a proper pedestrian crossing is required.</p> | <p>Pedestrian crossing assessment has been completed – see attached.</p> <p>A meeting was held with TC and National Trust (NT) to discuss options available. The recommendation in the report supports TC and NT preferred option i.e. a zebra crossing.</p> <p>It was noted that there is no street lighting at the moment which is required by national regulation when a crossing is installed. A basic cost will be @£40k but a more suitable lighting system for the environment may be required</p> <p>The CATG discussed the report.</p> <p>The NT reported that number visiting is continuing to increase as is traffic and speed.</p> | <p><b>RECOMMENDATION</b> - £1,500 to be allocated by the Area Board to develop the design subject to Lacock Parish Council paying the remaining £500</p>               |    |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|           |  |   |   |        |
|-----------|--|---|---|--------|
|           |  | GR recommended that the project should be done in stages. The first part is asking the CATG to approve the cost for the design stage. (@£2k in total)   |   |        |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>Other Priority Schemes</b>  |   |   |        |
| f)        | <b>Issue No: 3870 Emergency Vehicles on Hazelbury Hill</b>   | Box Parish Council sent out letters to the residents, 50% were in favour and 50% were against. Box Parish Council will resend letters for a majority vote. <b>Keep Open.</b>  | <b>ACTION</b> - Box agreed that the issue should be closed and reopened if it is required in the future | Box PC |
| g)        | <b>Issue 4105 HGV's Speeding on A365 Box</b>   | Following the local consultation exercise the Parish Council are considering available options.<br><br>The freight assessment has been completed and the results can be viewed in a Cabinet Member report on the website.<br><br><a href="https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?ID=1135">https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?ID=1135</a><br><br>The freight survey is ongoing                                 | <b>ACTION</b> – Keep open the issue but no additional action required at the moment                     |        |
| j)        | <b>Issue No:4616 A4 Box Railway Bridge</b><br><br>Pedestrian safety wrt the A4 bridge crossing the railway near The Bassetts Box. This has been raised at CATG meeting but needs to be formally reopened as it is still considered to be a significant | Issue was discussed at previous meeting. PC were to re raise the issue with appropriate description of issue, which has now been completed. At last meeting suggestion was made re: Independent Road Safety Audit of the pedestrian provision across the bridge. PC were to consider 25% funding contribution (circa £500).<br><br>Box PC have now confirmed they are unwilling to contribute 25% towards an Independent Road Safety Audit. | <b>ACTION</b> – Close the issue as Box PC do not wish to contribute                                     |        |

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |  |   |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|--|
|    | hazard by Box Parish Council. Previously raised Issue No 316367.   |   |  |  |
| k) | <p><b>Issue No.:4658 Corsham, Park Lane</b></p> <p>Cars travelling along Park Lane at the Bath Road end often exceed the 30 mile speed limit. I feel it may be a deterrent if signs were put on this stretch.</p>  | <p>Issue has been sent to the Town Council for their consideration.</p> <p>It should be noted that 30mph repeater signs (or the equivalent road markings) are not permitted for use in areas subject to a system of street lighting. Park Lane has a system of street lighting. Concern regarding vehicle speeds should be dealt with through the Community Speedwatch initiative.</p> <p>Metro count was requested by SS.</p> <p>The results have come back and shown that the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile was 37mph.</p> | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close the issue and note that it is eligible for a community speedwatch</p>   |  |
| 6. | <b>New Requests / Issues</b>   |   |  |  |
| a) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4965 Corsham, Leafield Industrial Estate</b></p> <p>Concerns regarding HGVs turning left out of the northern junction of the industrial estate and contravening 7.5T weight restriction</p> | <p>Area Board issue needs to be formally logged on the system.</p> <p>Concerns regarding HGVs turning left out of the northern junction of the industrial estate and contravening 7.5T weight restriction.</p> <p>The key issue is that vehicles exiting do not know which way to turn and then contravening the weight limit. This would become a police enforcement issue</p> <p>However it was agreed that the signage was not clear and a sign should be erected</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> – It was agreed that this would become a priority and would cost @£1k</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION</b> – That the Area Board contribute £750 to the cost subject to the Town Council paying the remaining 25%</p> |  |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |  |   |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| b) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4911: Pickwick, Corsham</b></p> <p>Various traffic management requests.</p>     | <p>Pickwick Association requesting white gates, speed limit extension and potential reduction, traffic calming and a vehicle activated sign.</p> <p>To be discussed at meeting.</p> <p>The advice from the highways engineer is that the only possible actions that can help are White Gates and the use of SID's.</p> <p>There is possibly space for narrow gates to be installed which will be in the region of £5k</p> | <p><b>RECOMMENDATION</b> – For the Area Board to contribute 75% of the costs of gates up to £5,200 subject to a contribution from a 3<sup>rd</sup> party for the remaining 25%</p>               |  |
| c) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4870: Pickwick Corsham</b></p> <p>Various traffic management requests.</p>      | <p>Identical to issue 4911, Suggest issue is closed and all updates dealt with under 4911.</p>  | <p><b>ACTION – Close Issue</b></p>   |  |
| d) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4821: Hartham Business Park, Corsham</b></p> <p>Request for direction signs</p> | <p>To be discussed at meeting.</p> <p>Tourism signs need to go via Visit Wiltshire but Hartham Park is not a tourism site.</p> <p>Hartham Park have been told that an estimate for new signs could be put together if they are willing to pay for them. No response has been received.</p> <p>The CATG felt that this should not be expected to pay any of the costs</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> – To inform the raiser of the issue to ask if they wish to continue and if so for them to re-establish contact with Mark Stansby</p> <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close as CATG issue</p> |  |
| e) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4820: The Stoneworks, Neston, SN13 9YJ</b></p>                                  | <p>To be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>Request for issues relating to street name plates which should be dealt with through the Area Office.</p>   | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Corsham TC to look at this issue and feed back</p>  |  |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |   |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|---|--|
|    | Request for amendment to street nameplate.  |   |   |  |
| f) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4807: The Cleeve, Corsham</b></p> <p>Request for additional street lighting.</p> | <p>To be discussed at meeting.</p> <p>Request for additional street light on The Cleeve on front of houses 14-15 and review existing lighting along footpath.</p> <p>This has not yet been considered by the Town Council. The request is part of a list of various concerns raised</p> | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Town Council to consider the issue and feedback (SS)</p> |  |
| g) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4773: Abbey Road, Lacock</b></p> <p>Replacement of temporary signs</p>           | <p>Following introduction of the permanent restriction request to remove temporary signage and replace some with permanent structures.</p> <p>The temporary signs were put in for the experimental speed limit which has now become permanent.</p>                                      | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Look at a proposal and costs (GR)</p>                    |  |
| h) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4750: Hartham Park, Corsham</b></p> <p>Request for direction signs</p>           | <p>Identical issue to 4821. Suggest issue is closed and all updates dealt with under 4821.</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> - Close issue</p>  |  |
| i) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4749: Hartham Park, Corsham</b></p> <p>Request for direction signs</p>           | <p>Identical issue to 4821. Suggest issue is closed and all updates dealt with under 4821.</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close Issue</p>  |  |
| j) | <p><b>Area Board Issue 4744: Pickwick, Corsham</b></p> <p>Request for white gates</p>                   | <p>Similar request to issue 4911. Suggest issue is closed and all updates dealt with under 4911.</p>  | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close Issue</p>  |  |
| 7. | <b>Other items</b>  |   |   |  |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |   |   |  |           |
|----|---|---|--|-----------|
| a) | <p>Issue No: 3893 Poor quality of block paving in Katherine Park</p>  | <p>Roger Witt is currently liaising with the developer. GW to provide update - The developer has agreed to carry out the remedial work to the site in the New Year. Charles Church have asked that a contractor working on behalf of Persimmon Homes carries out this work commencing January 2016</p> <p>PB to provide update at meeting.</p> <p>The work has been completed although there are concerns that they may become loose or sink.</p> | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Close issue as problem has been fixed</p> | <p>PB</p> |
| b) | <p>Colerne – Village Hall to Play area footway.</p> <p>Colerne PC<br/>I was given to understand that WC had in the past patched the path but it was now beyond patching. John had decided that he would ask for part of the funding from CATG and offer to pay for the balance from the Highways pot.</p> | <p>Path is a Right of Way and maintenance responsibilities lie with Area Highways. P Bollen to update.</p> <p>Cllr Tonge is looking at this with the PC to explore possible funding sources</p> <p>Keep issue open but no further action required</p>   |  |           |



## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

|    |   |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| c) | <p>Beechfield Road parking issue.</p> <p>Concerns raised regarding parking along Beechfield Road outside the Community Campus parking entrance. Problems have been reported of near misses on the corner.</p> | <p>Issue discussed with Network Management and it is recommended the most appropriate action would be additional waiting restrictions in this area. This can be incorporated within the Corsham Town Review which is currently ongoing. Suggested TC/Local Member undertake consultation with affected businesses/properties before this is taken further.</p> <p>It was agreed that this is an issue but the only option would be waiting restrictions but these are not without challenges.</p> | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Corsham Town Council to consider the issue and feedback (SS)</p> |  |
|    | AOB   | <p>Lacock Parish Council is concerned with the amount of traffic that comes through the village particularly as a result of the surrounding development.</p> <p>A report has been carried out and the question was asked of how this should be taken forward.</p> <p>GR responded that he has met with those who have carried out the report. They have been asked to prioritise their requests so that that issue can be brought to the CATG</p>   | <p><b>ACTION</b> – Raise this on the issue system</p>                               |  |
| 8. | <p><b>Date of Next Meeting: 15<sup>th</sup> February 2017 Venue TBC</b></p>   |   |   |  |

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

### Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers

#### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of approximately £

#### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

#### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

#### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

#### 6. Safeguarding implications

#### 7. Recommendations to Corsham Area Board

- I. Issue number 4482 - Installation of white gates along Devizes Road, Box  
That the Area Board would be willing to pay 75% of the cost of installing double gates (@£3,900) if the Parish Council choose this option and are willing to pay the remaining 25%. (@£1,300)
- II. Issue number 4364 – Dangerous crossing Hither Way in Lacock  
That the Area Board would be willing to pay up to £1,500 to develop the design subject to Lacock Parish Council paying the remaining £500

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

- III. Area Board Issue 4965 – Leafield Industrial Estate. Concerns regarding HGVs turning left out of the northern junction of the industrial estate and contravening 7.5T weight restriction  
That the Area Board contribute 75% (@£750) to the cost subject to the Town Council paying the remaining 25% (@£250)
- IV. Area Board Issue 4911 – Pickwick traffic issues.  
For the Area Board to contribute 75% of the costs of gates up to £5,200 subject to a contribution from a 3<sup>rd</sup> party for the remaining 25%